



TITLE:

# A NOTE ON MILNOR AND THURSTON'S MONOTONICITY THEOREM

AUTHOR(S):

TSUJII, MASATO

---

CITATION:

TSUJII, MASATO. A NOTE ON MILNOR AND THURSTON'S  
MONOTONICITY THEOREM. 数理解析研究所講究録 1994, 863: 51-52

ISSUE DATE:

1994-03

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/83882>

RIGHT:

# A NOTE ON MILNOR AND THURSTON'S MONOTONICITY THEOREM

TSUJII MASATO

(辻井 正人)

The aim of this note is to give a simplified proof of the so-called Milnor and Thurston's monotonicity theorem. We begin with stating the theorem.

Let us consider a family of maps  $Q_a(x) = a - x^2$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to itself. The kneading sequence for  $Q_a$  is an infinite sequence  $K(a) = (e_1, e_2, \dots)$  of three symbols  $L, C$  and  $R$  defined as

$$e_i = \begin{cases} L, & \text{if } Q_a^i(0) < 0; \\ C, & \text{if } Q_a^i(0) = 0; \\ R, & \text{if } Q_a^i(0) > 0, \end{cases}$$

On the set  $\{L, C, R\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the so-called signed lexicographical order  $\prec$  is defined as follows: for three sequences with the same first  $n$ -entries,

$$\begin{aligned} I_L &= (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, L, \dots), \\ I_C &= (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, C, \dots), \\ I_R &= (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, R, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

we decide  $I_L \prec I_C \prec I_R$  if the number of the symbol  $R$  in  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  is even, and  $I_R \prec I_C \prec I_L$  otherwise. Milnor and Thurston's monotonicity theorem is

**Theorem.** *The correspondence  $a \mapsto K(a)$  is monotone increasing.*

This surprisingly strong theorem was conjectured by Milnor and Thurston, and proved firstly by Duady, Hubbard and Sullivan. The proof we give here is a modification of the proof in [2].

The theorem follows from

**Proposition.** *If  $K(a_0) = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, C, \dots)$  and  $e_i \neq C$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then*

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial_a(Q_a^{n+1}(0))|_{a=a_0}}{DQ_{a_0}^n(Q_{a_0}(0))} > 0$$

where  $DQ_{a_0}^n$  denote the derivative of the  $n$ -times iteration of the map  $Q_{a_0}$  and  $\partial_a(Q_a^{n+1}(0))$  denote the derivative of  $Q_a^{n+1}(0)$  as a function of the parameter  $a$ .

In fact, suppose that  $a_0$  satisfies the assumption of the proposition and that the number of  $R$  in  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$  is even (resp. odd). Then the denominator in the left hand side of (1) is positive (resp. negative) and, from the proposition, so is the numerator. This implies that  $e_{n+1}$  varies as  $L \rightarrow C \rightarrow R$  (resp.  $R \rightarrow C \rightarrow L$ ) when

the parameter  $a$  pass  $a_0$  from the left to the right. Now consider the truncated kneading sequence  $K^{(n)}(a) = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$  for each  $n$ . For each parameter  $a$  at which  $K^{(n)}(a)$  changes, we can find the situation in the proposition. Thus the above observation shows that  $K^{(n)}(a)$  depends on  $a$  monotonously. Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get the theorem.

Let us denote  $w_i = Q_a^i(0)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and put  $\omega = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the so-called Thurston map:

$$T(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (\sigma_1 \sqrt{z_1 - z_2}, \sigma_2 \sqrt{z_1 - z_3}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \sqrt{z_1 - z_n}, \sigma_n \sqrt{z_1})$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is the sign of  $w_i$ . Then  $T(\omega) = \omega$  and  $T$  is defined on a neighborhood of  $\omega$ . By easy calculations, we obtain

**Lemma 1.**  $\frac{\partial_a(Q_a^{n+1}(0))|_{a=a_0}}{DQ_{a_0}^n(Q_{a_0}(0))} = \det(I_n - D_\omega T)$  where  $I_n$  denotes the  $n \times n$  unit matrix and  $D_\omega T$  the derivative of  $T$  at  $\omega$ .

So we reduce the proposition to

**Lemma 2.** No eigenvalue of  $D_\omega T$  is contained in  $[1, \infty)$ .

Let  $X = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 0 < |z_i| < 3, \text{ and } z_i \neq z_j \text{ if } i \neq j\}$  and

$$Y_\epsilon = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in X \mid |z_i| > 10^i \epsilon \text{ and } |z_i - z_j| > 10^{\min\{i,j\}} \epsilon \text{ if } i \neq j\}$$

for  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then the (multi-valued) complex extension of  $T$ ,

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (\sqrt{z_1 - z_2}, \sqrt{z_1 - z_3}, \dots, \sqrt{z_1}) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n,$$

maps  $X$  into itself in the sense that, for every  $x \in X$  and every branch of  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ , the image belongs to  $X$ . Moreover, if  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small,  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$  maps  $Y_\epsilon$  into a compact subset of  $Y_\epsilon$  in this sense. Take  $\epsilon$  so small that  $\omega \in Y_\epsilon$ . Let  $M_\mu : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a map defined by

$$M_\mu(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (w_1 + \mu(z_1 - w_1), \dots, w_n + \mu(z_n - w_n)) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We choose  $\mu > 1$  so close to 1 that the composition  $S := M_\mu \circ T_{\mathbb{C}}$  maps  $Y_\epsilon$  into itself. Let  $\pi : \tilde{Y}_\epsilon \rightarrow Y_\epsilon$  be the universal covering and let  $\tilde{\omega} \in \tilde{Y}_\epsilon$  be a point such that  $\pi(\tilde{\omega}) = \omega$ . Then there is a (single valued) lift  $\tilde{S} : \tilde{Y}_\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_\epsilon$  of  $S$  such that  $\tilde{S}(\tilde{\omega}) = \tilde{\omega}$ .

Now consider the Kobayashi metric  $|\cdot|_K$  on  $\tilde{Y}_\epsilon$ , which is defined as

$$|v|_K = [\sup\{r \geq 0 \mid \text{there is a holomorphic map } \phi : D_r \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_\epsilon \text{ s.t. } d\phi(e) = v.\}]^{-1}$$

for any tangent vector  $v$  where  $D_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < r\}$  and  $e$  is the unit vector at  $0 \in D_r$ . (See [1] for generalities.) Then it is easy to check that  $|\cdot|_K$  is equivalent to the Euclidean metric at each point. From the definition, we have  $|d\Phi(v)|_K \leq |v|_K$  for any holomorphic map  $\Phi : \tilde{Y}_\epsilon \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_\epsilon$  and any tangent vector  $v$ . So it follows that the spectral radius of  $D_{\tilde{\omega}} \tilde{S}$  is not bigger than 1. Since  $D_{\tilde{\omega}} \tilde{S} = \mu \cdot D_\omega T$  and  $\mu > 1$ , the spectral radius of  $D_\omega T$  is smaller than 1. We have proved lemma 2 and so the main theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Kobayashi, *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, Marcel Dekker, 1970.
2. J. Milnor and W. Thurston, *On iterated maps of the interval*, Lect. Notes in Math. 1342 (1989), 465–563.